UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JUSTIN SAMUELS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants.

23-CV-8004 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH LEAVE TO REPLEAD

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is appearing *pro se*, brings this action alleging that he was denied his constitutional right to equal protection in connection with his application to the New York State Department of Labor for unemployment benefits while he was living in Spain. He sues the New York State Department of Labor and the United States Department of Labor, seeking damages and declaratory relief.

By order dated September 22, 2023, the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP), that is, without prepayment of fees. For the reasons set forth below, the Court dismisses Plaintiff's complaint and grants him leave to file an amended complaint within 30 days of the date of this order.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Court must dismiss an *in forma pauperis* complaint, or any portion of the complaint, that is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B);

¹ Plaintiff also filed numerous letters with exhibits. (ECF 6-8, 10-11.)

see Livingston v. Adirondack Beverage Co., 141 F.3d 434, 437 (2d Cir. 1998). The Court must also dismiss a complaint when the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction of the claims raised. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

While the law mandates dismissal on any of these grounds, the Court is obliged to construe *pro se* pleadings liberally, *Harris v. Mills*, 572 F.3d 66, 72 (2d Cir. 2009), and interpret them to raise the "strongest [claims] that they *suggest*," *Triestman v. Fed. Bureau of Prisons*, 470 F.3d 471, 474 (2d Cir. 2006) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted) (emphasis in original). But the "special solicitude" in *pro se* cases, *id.* at 475 (citation omitted), has its limits – to state a claim, *pro se* pleadings still must comply with Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, which requires a complaint to make a short and plain statement showing that the pleader is entitled to relief.

Rule 8 requires a complaint to include enough facts to state a claim for relief "that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). A claim is facially plausible if the plaintiff pleads enough factual detail to allow the Court to draw the inference that the defendant is liable for the alleged misconduct. In reviewing the complaint, the Court must accept all well-pleaded factual allegations as true. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009). But it does not have to accept as true "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action," which are essentially just legal conclusions. *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555. After separating legal conclusions from well-pleaded factual allegations, the Court must determine whether those facts make it plausible – not merely possible – that the pleader is entitled to relief. *Id*.

BACKGROUND

Plaintiff's complaint alleges the following facts. "During the COVID-19 pandemic," Plaintiff was working remotely in Spain and caring for his mother. (ECF 1 at 1.) On an unspecified date, Plaintiff applied to the New York State Department of Labor (DOL) for

unemployment benefits, which he received. Thereafter, the New York State DOL accused Plaintiff of having made false statements in his application.

Plaintiff alleges that his rights under the Fourteenth Amendment's Equal Protection

Clause were violated based on "unequal treatment in comparison to other applicants during the pandemic . . . based on dual citizenship or the countries of citizenship." (*Id.*) He seeks damages and a declaration that the actions of the New York State DOL and United States Department of Labor were unconstitutional.

DISCUSSION

A. Claims against State Agency

The Court construes Plaintiff's claims that the New York State DOL violated his constitutional rights in addressing his application for unemployment benefits as a claim arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege both that: (1) a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) the right was violated by a person acting under the color of state law, or a "state actor." *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48-49 (1988).

"[A]s a general rule, state governments may not be sued in federal court unless they have waived their Eleventh Amendment immunity, or unless Congress has abrogated the states' Eleventh Amendment immunity" *Gollomp v. Spitzer*, 568 F.3d 355, 366 (2d Cir. 2009).

"The immunity recognized by the Eleventh Amendment extends beyond the states themselves to state agents and state instrumentalities that are, effectively, arms of a state." *Id.* New York has not waived its Eleventh Amendment immunity to suit in federal court, and Congress did not abrogate the states' immunity in enacting Section 1983. *See Trotman v. Palisades Interstate Park Comm'n*, 557 F.2d 35, 40 (2d Cir. 1977).

The New York State DOL is an arm of the State of New York. *Jackson v. N.Y. State Dep't of Labor*, 709 F. Supp. 2d 218, 225-26 (S.D.N.Y. 2010) ("DOL is an agency of the State of New York, which is an arm of the state entitled to invoke Eleventh Amendment immunity."). The Eleventh Amendment therefore bars Plaintiff from bringing his Section 1983 claims against the New York State DOL in federal court, and these claims are dismissed based on Eleventh Amendment immunity.

B. Claims against Federal Agency

Plaintiff names the United States Department of Labor (DOL) as a defendant in his complaint, which seeks damages for an alleged violation of his constitutional rights. The doctrine of sovereign immunity bars federal courts from hearing all suits against the federal government, including suits against a federal agency or federal officer, except where sovereign immunity has been waived. *United States v. Mitchell*, 445 U.S. 535, 538 (1980) (quoting *United States v. Sherwood*, 312 U.S. 584, 586 (1941)); *Robinson v. Overseas Mil. Sales Corp.*, 21 F.3d 502, 510 (2d Cir. 1994) ("Because an action against a federal agency or federal officers in their official capacities is essentially a suit against the United States, such suits are . . . barred under the doctrine of sovereign immunity, unless such immunity is waived."). Plaintiff has not invoked any federal statute that waives the sovereign immunity of the United States for a claim for damages based on an alleged violation of the Constitution.² Plaintiff's claims against the United States DOL must therefore be dismissed based on sovereign immunity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii).

² A plaintiff may seek damages against the United States under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA), 28 U.S.C. § 1346(b)(1), for example, but an FTCA claim is not available for the violation of a constitutional right. *See FDIC v. Meyer*, 510 U.S. 471, 477-78 (1994) ("[T]he United States simply has not rendered itself liable under [the FTCA] for constitutional tort claims.").

LEAVE TO AMEND

Plaintiff proceeds in this matter without the benefit of an attorney. District courts generally should grant a self-represented plaintiff an opportunity to amend a complaint to cure its defects, unless amendment would be futile. *See Hill v. Curcione*, 657 F.3d 116, 123-24 (2d Cir. 2011); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Indeed, the Second Circuit has cautioned that district courts "should not dismiss [a *pro se* complaint] without granting leave to amend at least once when a liberal reading of the complaint gives any indication that a valid claim might be stated." *Cuoco v. Moritsugu*, 222 F.3d 99, 112 (2d Cir. 2000) (quoting *Gomez v. USAA Fed. Sav. Bank*, 171 F.3d 794, 795 (2d Cir. 1999)).

Although the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiff's claims against the New York State DOL from proceeding in federal court, the Eleventh Amendment does not bar an official-capacity claim against an individual state officer or employee, where the relief sought is prospective. See Ex Parte Young, 209 U.S. 123 (1908); In re Deposit Ins. Agency, 482 F.3d 612, 618 (2d Cir. 2007) ("A plaintiff may avoid the Eleventh Amendment bar to suit and proceed against individual state officers, as opposed to the state, in their official capacities, provided that his complaint (a) 'alleges an ongoing violation of federal law' and (b) 'seeks relief properly characterized as prospective.'" (citations omitted)). If Plaintiff wishes to pursue a claim arising from these facts, seeking only prospective injunctive or declaratory relief, rather than damages, he may file an amended complaint repleading his claim. If Plaintiff wishes to pursue a claim against the federal U.S. Department of Labor under some federal statute that waives its sovereign immunity, he can replead his claim in an amended complaint and plead facts about what the United States DOL did or failed to do that violated his rights. The Court grants Plaintiff 30 days' leave to amend his complaint to detail his claims.

In the "Statement of Claim" section of the amended complaint form, Plaintiff must provide a short and plain statement of the relevant facts supporting each claim against each defendant. If Plaintiff has an address for any named defendant, Plaintiff must provide it. Plaintiff should include all of the information in the amended complaint that Plaintiff wants the Court to consider in deciding whether the amended complaint states a claim for relief. That information should include:

- a) the names and titles of all relevant people;
- b) a description of all relevant events, including what each defendant did or failed to do, the approximate date and time of each event, and the general location where each event occurred;
- c) a description of the injuries Plaintiff suffered; and
- d) the relief Plaintiff seeks, such as money damages, injunctive relief, or declaratory relief.

Essentially, Plaintiff's amended complaint should tell the Court: who violated his federally protected rights; how, when, and where such violations occurred; and why Plaintiff is entitled to relief.

Because Plaintiff's amended complaint will completely replace, not supplement, the original complaint, any facts or claims that Plaintiff wants to include from the original complaint must be repeated in the amended complaint.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's claims against the New York State DOL are dismissed based on Eleventh Amendment immunity, and his claims against the United States DOL are dismissed based on sovereign immunity, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii).

Plaintiff is granted leave to file an amended complaint that complies with the standards set forth above. Plaintiff must submit the amended complaint to this Court's Pro Se Intake Unit

within 30 days of the date of this order, caption the document as an "Amended Complaint," and

label the document with docket number 23-CV-8004 (LTS). An Amended Complaint form is

attached to this order. No summons will issue at this time. If Plaintiff fails to comply within the

time allowed, and he cannot show good cause to excuse such failure, the Court will enter

judgment dismissing the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant

demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

SO ORDERED.

Dated:

November 6, 2023

New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

Chief United States District Judge

7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Write the full name of each plaintiff.	CV(Include case number if one has been assigned)
-against-	AMENDED
	COMPLAINT
	_ Do you want a jury trial? □ Yes □ No
	_
Write the full name of each defendant. If you need more space, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed above must be identical to those contained in Section II.	

NOTICE

The public can access electronic court files. For privacy and security reasons, papers filed with the court should therefore *not* contain: an individual's full social security number or full birth date; the full name of a person known to be a minor; or a complete financial account number. A filing may include *only*: the last four digits of a social security number; the year of an individual's birth; a minor's initials; and the last four digits of a financial account number. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

I. BASIS FOR JURISDICTION

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation, and the amount in controversy is more than \$75,000, is a diversity case. In a diversity case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal-court jurisdiction in your case?				
☐ Federal Question				
☐ Diversity of Citizenship				
A. If you checked Federal Question				
Which of your federal constitutional or federal statutory rights have been violated?				
B. If you checked Diversity of Citizenship				
1. Citizenship of the parties				
Of what State is each party a citizen?				
The plaintiff ,, is a citizen of the State of (Plaintiff's name)				
(State in which the person resides and intends to remain.)				
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States, a citizen or subject of the foreign state of				
If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach additional pages providing information for each additional plaintiff.				

If the defendant is an individual:		
The defendant, (Defendant's name)		, is a citizen of the State of
or, if not lawfully admitted for permanen subject of the foreign state of		
If the defendant is a corporation:		·
The defendant,		, is incorporated under the laws of
the State of		
and has its principal place of business in t		
or is incorporated under the laws of (forei	gn state)	
and has its principal place of business in		·
If more than one defendant is named in the cinformation for each additional defendant.	complaint, att	ach additional pages providing
II. PARTIES		
A. Plaintiff Information		
Provide the following information for each poages if needed.	laintiff name	d in the complaint. Attach additional
First Name Middle Initial	Last	Name
Street Address		
County, City	State	Zip Code
Telephone Number	Email Addre	ss (if available)

B. Defendant Information

To the best of your ability, provide addresses where each defendant may be served. If the correct information is not provided, it could delay or prevent service of the complaint on the defendant. Make sure that the defendants listed below are the same as those listed in the caption. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant 1:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code		
Defendant 2:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code	_	
Defendant 3:					
	First Name	Last Name			
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)				
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)				
	County, City	State	Zip Code	_	

Defendant 4:				
	First Name	Last Name		
	Current Job Title (or other identifying information)			
	Current Work Address (or other address where defendant may be served)			
	County, City	State	Zip Code	
III. STATEMEN	NT OF CLAIM			
Place(s) of occurr	ence:			
Date(s) of occurre	ence:			
FACTS:				
•	t each defendant p	pport your case. Describe what have ersonally did or failed to do that		

INJURIES:
If you were injured as a result of these actions, describe your injuries and what medical treatment, if any, you required and received.
IV. RELIEF
State briefly what money damages or other relief you want the court to order.

V. PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATION AND WARNINGS

By signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that: (1) the complaint is not being presented for an improper purpose (such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation); (2) the claims are supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument to change existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.

I agree to notify the Clerk's Office in writing of any changes to my mailing address. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Each Plaintiff must sign and date the complaint. Attach additional pages if necessary. If seeking to proceed without prepayment of fees, each plaintiff must also submit an IFP application.

Dated		Plainti	ff's Signature
First Name	Middle Initial	Last Na	ame
Street Address			
County, City		State	Zip Code
Telephone Number		Email <i>i</i>	Address (if available)
I have read the Pro Se (Nonprisoner) Conse	nt to Receiv	e Documents Electronically:
□ Yes □ No			
	receive documents e not consent, please o		submit the completed form with your the form.